

# Agenda Items for 2nd Stakeholder Meeting

## Ecodesign Sound & Imaging Equipment (ENTR Lot 3)

### 8<sup>th</sup> February 2010, Brussels

10:00 – 10:15	<b>Welcome</b>	KL
10:15 – 11:00	Follow-up of first stakeholder meeting, including overview on preparatory study and stakeholder feedback to minutes, tasks 1-3 and first screening.	SO
11:00 – 11:45	Presentation and discussion of Task 4 – Assessment of Base cases and Table on Page 107 – regarding potential ecodesign indicators for the designer	SO
11:45 – 12:30	Presentation and discussion for Task 5 – BAT and BNAT	BH
12:30 – 13:00	DG ENTR presentation and discussion on Audio Equipment - Questionnaire regarding tasks 1-7 plus open discussion in relation to ongoing Energy Star activities on audio/video equipment	KL
13:00 – 14:00	<b>Lunch</b>	
14:00 – 15:00	Discussion on regulatory concepts for video players/recorders, projectors, games consoles and possibly audio equipment:	
	a. The advantages and disadvantages of voluntary agreements as opposed to regulation in the timely incorporation of BAT and BNAT.	AW
	b. Needs for standardisation – measurement standards and other ecodesign standards.	BH
	c. Sustainable Industrial Policy action plan – integrated approach for minimum requirements/benchmarks/labelling/public procurement, coordination with other EU environmental, safety and health legislation.	KL
	d. Potential interactions with third country regulations in US, Asia or Australia.	CJ
15:00 – 16:00	Discussion on aspects to consider for improvement potential of video players/recorders, projectors, games consoles and possibly audio equipment. Items to cover to include:	
	a. RoHS exemptions demands and implications for better energy efficiency	AW
	b. Definition and separation of professional products (e.g. proposal: a separation between high end specialist professional products and mass market products based on class A or class B EMC classification )	BH
	c. Non-electric/electronic improvements that could increase <b>resource</b> efficiency. (In other words what could be done to save materials or other resources in any part of the life cycle? Do these give rise to conflicts at all in other areas i.e. save some material in production but sacrifice ease of recycling say?)	JW
	d. Do any products use any super-rare / exotic materials? (e.g. europium – rapidly becoming a key issue).	PD
	e. Potential environmental impacts of energy efficiency improvements of products on the manufacturing or recycling phase	JW
16:00 – 16:15	The 'next steps' including the schedule to completion	SO
16:15 – 16:30	Any Other Business	
16:30	<b>Close</b>	
16:30 – 17:00	Possibility of individual talks with study contractors and Commission	