


**Intertek**

*M* **AEA**



## **EuP Lot 3 Third Stakeholder Meeting**

**Projectors**

**Dr. C. Jehle & Bob Harrison**

# Feedback Session Task 1 – 5: ResponseTask 1.

---

- **3 – Chip DLP projector should be classed as professional: Not accepted.**
- **Product definition must more clearly differentiate between professional and domestic / light industrial projectors: Accepted and addressed in task 7 with support from Digital Europe.**
- **Testing methodology for total luminous flux is adopted in different ways Internationally: Accepted and under address.**

## Feedback Session Task 1 – 5: Response Task 2/3

---

- **Task 2: Projector lamp life issues and potential impact on recycling: Not accepted, Industry has dramatically improved both the life and cost of projector lamps using metal halide discharge technology. Mercury free lamps are also introduced on a growing scale.**
- **Task 3: Projector lamp life threshold (50%- 80%) and measurement methodology observations. Under discussion but perhaps less important with long-life lamps and new light source technology.**
- **Task 3: Qualification of cost of long life lamps: Not accepted – Internet survey quoted does not evaluate lamp colour purity in claim that long-life lamps have no cost increase.**

# Feedback Session Task 1–5: Response Task 3/5

---

**Task 3: Issues of qualification of usage patterns and data sources for average projector power requirement in active mode: Agreed and addressed in xls. annex to Task 3 using Industry and Consumer Association data sources. To be presented in final report.**

**Task 5: Detailed observations on lamp technology in the context of BNAT: Addressed as a “professional” projector issue. RHOS implications of nanocrystal heavy metal coating of LEDs, still in course of being addressed.**